

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/718,526	11/21/2000	Gary W. Tripp	1770-13-3	2538
996	7590 08/02/2002			
	., JACKSON, HALEY	EXAMINER		
155 - 108TH 2 SUITE 350	AVENUE NE	VU, VIET DUY		
BELLEVUE,	BELLEVUE, WA 98004-5901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	7
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2002	5 '

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sw

m

# Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/718,526

Examiner Viet Vu

Art Unit 2154

Tripp et al



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>						
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 15, 20	002		•		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL? 2b)☐ This act	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u>			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	are s	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a	a) 🗆 a	pproved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🗌 All b) 🔲 Some* c) 🔲 None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	prmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Notice of Inform     Other:	mai Patent	Application (P1O-152)		
_,, <sub>,,,,,,,,,,</sub>		or other:				

Serial No. 09/718,526

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action responds to applicant's request for reconsideration filed 7/15/2002.

# Art Rejections:

- 2. The text of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) cited in the previous office action is hereby incorporated by reference.
- 3. The rejection of claim 1-45 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Platt</u> et al, European patent application No. 2,296,799, paper #2, mailed 3/15/02, is hereby incorporated by reference.

## Response to Amendment:

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 7/15/02 are not deemed persuasive.

Applicant alleges that <u>Platt</u> does not teach storing data in a single key ordered list of objects.

This is not found persuasive. <u>Platt</u> teaches using a single table (table 1) for storing key ordered list of objects. In this example, "NAME" is the ordered key (<u>see page 13</u>, <u>lines 1-19</u>). To utilize the parallel processing of the system, <u>Platt</u> teaches

Serial No. 09/718,526

horizontally dividing table 1 into two non-overlapped contiguous segments (as tables 3 and 4) to be stored in server 1 and 2 respectively. The examiner submits that this teaching clearly meets the claimed element A. On a side note, contrary to applicant's assertion, Platt states that the disclosed parallel processing would be applied to a single database arrangement (see page 7, lines 36-40).

Applicant also alleges that <u>Platt</u> does not teach sending query to <u>only</u> one of the servers based upon the data content of the query.

This is not found persuasive. Platt clearly states that the query is sent by the optimizer 420 to only one of the servers 1 and 2 in the case where the database is homogenous (see page 15, lines 32-38). Applicant is reminded that the reference discloses the invention as claimed. The fact that it discloses additional features, i.e., processing heterogeneous database, not claimed is irrelevant.

### Conclusion:

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING

Serial No. 09/718,526

DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. \$ 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is  $(703)\ 305-9597$ . The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Turo)w

Art Unit 2154 7/31/02